EXHIBIT 37

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

To:
From:
Sent:
Subject:

First Jacobs Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2510-9 Filed 01/19/18 Page 2 of 4
Thur 4/13/2017 5:50:11 PM
Fwd: RO Intel report

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Craig Clark < craig.clark@uber.com>

Date: Thu, Feb 9, 2017 at 8:29 AM Subject: Fwd: RO Intel report

To: <ric@uber.com>

I had a long talk with Alberto this morning. I was disheartened by the fact that my legal priv training did not sink in even though this is almost identical to an example I had them all discuss and go through. The report itself and how this could have been handled better is for another discussion.

Craig Clark | Uber | Legal Director, Security Mobile:

Confidentiality Note: This message is for the intended recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, private or confidential information. The use, copying or distribution of the contents of this email, or any attachments hereto, by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent authorized by the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alberto Fittarelli

Date: February 9, 2017 at 10:14:12 AM EST **To:** Craig Clark < craig clark@uber.com>

Subject: Re: RO Intel report

And by that I mean - you *are* right, and I made a mistake:) I'd rather explain what I meant on the other point by voice.

Alberto Fittarelli

EMEA Security | Strategic Intelligence Lead

uber.com

On Thu, Feb 9, 2017 at 4:05 PM, Alberto Fittarelli wrote:

Craig, the last thing I want to do is making that a conflict. Wanna have a call?

Alberto Fittarelli

EMEA Security | Strategic Intelligence Lead

uber.com

On Thu, Feb 9, 2017 at 4:01 PM, Craig Clark < craig.clark@uber.com > wrote:

I don't know what the "other hand" what it has to do with making delicate privilege claims even harder due to blind use of macros or carelessness and setting a wrong/bad example for others. If you'd like to discuss specific examples of the "other hand" or misuse of the privilege I'm happy to do so.

Craig Clark | Uber | Legal Director, Security Mobile:

Confidentiality Note: This message is for the intended recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, private or confidential information. The use, copying or distribution of the contents of this email, or any attachments hereto, by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent authorized by the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email.

On Feb 9, 2017, at 9:37 AM, Alberto Fittarelli wrote:

You're right, and I apologize. On the other hand, the root issue of unsafe communication and action from the broader company remains unsolved, and I don't have an easy way to manage that.

Alberto Fittarelli

EMEA Security | Strategic Intelligence Lead

uber.com

On Thu, Feb 9, 2017 at 3:33 PM, Craig Clark < craig clark@uber.com > wrote:

This really shows abuse of the ac priv statement like we talked about last week. Is thanking her really for my review and advice? It just proves overuse.

Craig Clark | Uber | Legal Director, Security Mobile:

Confidentiality Note: This message is for the intended recipient only and may contain privileged, proprietary, private or confidential information. The use, copying or distribution of the contents of this email, or any attachments hereto, by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent authorized by the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by email.

On Feb 9, 2017, at 9:04 AM, Alberto Fittarelli <alberto@uber.com> wrote:

Attorney / Client Privileged & Confidential - Craig, for your review, and legal advice.

Thanks Nicoleta, appreciate it.

Alberto Fittarelli

EMEA Security | Strategic Intelligence Lead

uber.com

Nicoleta

Nicoleta Schroeder

General Manager I Romania

uber.com

Ric Jacobs

Global Intel Manager

ric@uber.com | uber.com